

Nevada GTO TIE COMPASS

Technology Investment Evaluation Program

Final accessibility build

Source report snapshot: FY26 Year-to-Date (Day 196)

About this version

This edition is structured for screen readers and tagged-PDF export. Interactive charts from the source report are represented here as tables and plain-language notes so the content reads in a predictable order.

Executive Summary

Portfolio Summary

Table 1. Portfolio summary

Metric	FY26 YTD	FY25 Full Year	Cumulative
Total Investment	\$1.00B	\$779.7M	\$3.80B
TIEs Reviewed	123	237	1,127
State Agencies	42	60	107

Submission Activity Overview

Table 2. Submission activity overview

Time Window	TIEs	Completion Rate
7 Days	3	0%
30 Days	23	43%
90 Days	78	76%
FY26 to Date	146	84%
Since FY19	1008	94%

GTO Review Performance

Table 3. GTO review performance snapshot

Metric	Current Value	Notes / Target
FY26 Median Cycle Time	21.9 days	Target: ≤10 days
Processing Backlog	8 TIEs	Target: ≤15 Submit: 1 Review: 7
4-Week Throughput	126 %	Target: ≥100% In: 19 Out: 24
FY26 Completed	123 Reviews	With 3 Completion Memos Pending Cumulative: 945

1. FY26 Submissions by Current Status

This section tracks TIEs submitted during FY26 by submit date and shows their current status. It differs from the completion summary above, which counts reviews completed during FY26 regardless of when the TIE was submitted.

Table 4. Recent week-by-week status detail

Week Starts	New This Week	✓ Review Completed	📄 Pending Memo	👁 In Review	📁 Agency Submit	NEW New	✍ Agency Edit	Cumulative
Jan 06	3	123	3	7	1	0	6	146
Dec 30	5	123	3	5	0	0	6	143
Dec 23	4	122	3	1	0	0	6	138
Dec 16	7	122	1	1	0	0	5	134

2. GTO Review Operations

Data context

Timing metrics for the TIE review process are based on 125 TIEs submitted after system go-live in July 2025.

Table 5. Cycle time by submission cohort

Week Starts	TIEs	Median Days	Mean Days	Status
FY26 W27 Dec 30	1	1.3	1.3	✓ On Target
FY26 W25 Dec 16	5	21.3	18.9	✗ Attention
FY26 W24 Dec 09	6	22.4	20.1	✗ Attention
FY26 W23 Dec 02	9	30.4	28.7	✗ Attention
FY26 W22 Nov 25	3	14.6	14.4	⚠ Review
FY26 W21 Nov 18	8	16.4	18.9	✗ Attention
FY26 W20 Nov 11	6	25.4	21.7	✗ Attention
FY26 W19 Nov 04	4	26.0	20.2	✗ Attention
FY26 W18 Oct 28	11	4.3	16.9	✓ On Target
FY26 W17 Oct 21	2	2.8	2.8	✓ On Target
FY26 W16 Oct 14	4	29.4	27.6	✗ Attention
FY26 W15 Oct 07	5	4.3	14.2	✓ On Target

Table 6. Weekly submission and completion activity

Week Starts	Submitted	Completed	Balance	Completion Cycle
FY26 W28 Jan 06	3	7	233%	28.3 days
FY26 W27 Dec 30	5	10	200%	28.9 days
FY26 W26 Dec 23	4	5	125%	18.4 days
FY26 W25 Dec 16	7	2	29%	15.4 days
FY26 W24 Dec 09	9	8	89%	25.4 days
FY26 W23 Dec 02	11	9	82%	17.3 days
FY26 W22 Nov 25	3	24	800%	66.9 days
FY26 W21 Nov 18	9	0	0%	-
FY26 W20 Nov 11	6	1	17%	4.4 days
FY26 W19 Nov 04	4	1	25%	1.3 days
FY26 W18 Oct 28	11	9	82%	1.5 days
FY26 W17 Oct 21	2	3	150%	4.3 days

Table 7. Backlog detail by status

TIE #	Status	Agency	Submitted	Age
1471	In Review	054 - Unclaimed Property	12-05-2025	38 days
1501	In Review	101 - Commission On Tourism	12-31-2025	12 days
288	In Review	406 - Public And Behavioral Health	12-31-2025	12 days
1515	In Review	810 - Department Of Motor Vehicles	01-02-2026	10 days
860	In Review	051,053 - College Savings Trust, Millennium Scholarship	01-02-2026	10 days
92	In Review	410 - Nha Director's Office	01-06-2026	6 days
1498	In Review	440 - Department Of Corrections	01-06-2026	6 days
1506	Agency Submit	440 - Department Of Corrections	01-12-2026	0 days

Table 8. Completed reviews by agency — FY26 YTD

Agency	TIEs Completed	Total Investment
407 - Division Of Welfare & Supportive Svcs	5	\$127.6M
300 - Department Of Education	4	\$29.6M
180 - Governor's Technology Office	7	\$19.8M
902 - Employment Security Division	2	\$10.1M
810 - Department Of Motor Vehicles	1	\$9.1M
410 - Nha Director's Office	1	\$6.9M
400,402 - Department Of Human Services, Aging And Disability Services	1	\$4.6M
440 - Department Of Corrections	4	\$1.9M
800 - Department Of Transportation	2	\$1.8M
652 - Parole And Probation	1	\$987.1K
085 - Risk Management Division	1	\$625.3K
707 - State Lands	1	\$613.8K
040 - Secretary Of State's Office	2	\$551.4K
409 - Child And Family Division	2	\$440.8K
102 - Governors Office Economic Devl	1	\$422.6K

Operational KPIs by Time Window

The interactive KPI cards in the source report are presented below as structured tables. Each table shows the average, median, range, target, and sample size for the selected time window.

1. KPI-01: Agency Submit Time

Submit → Review Start

Table 9. KPI-01: Agency Submit Time

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
7 Days	12.0 hours (avg)	12.0h	0.0 – 24.0h	≤2 days	n=2 TIEs
30 Days	1.5 days (avg)	1.0d	0.0 – 5.0d	≤2 days	n=21 TIEs
60 Days	1.0 days (avg)	1.0d	0.0 – 5.0d	≤2 days	n=55 TIEs
90 Days	1.0 days (avg)	1.0d	0.0 – 5.0d	≤2 days	n=64 TIEs

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
Q3 to Date	12.0 hours (avg)	12.0h	0.0 - 24.0h	≤2 days	n=4 TIEs
FY26 YTD	1.3 days (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 14.0d	≤2 days	n=82 TIEs
All Time	21.6 hours (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 120.0h	≤2 days	n=79 TIEs

2. KPI-02: Intake Processing

Review Start → First SME Assigned

Table 10. KPI-02: Intake Processing

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
7 Days	1.4 min (avg)	1.4m	0.4 - 2.5m	≤1 days	n=2 TIEs
30 Days	0.6 min (avg)	0.3m	0.2 - 2.5m	≤1 days	n=17 TIEs
60 Days	0.4 min (avg)	0.3m	0.2 - 2.5m	≤1 days	n=50 TIEs
90 Days	0.5 min (avg)	0.3m	0.2 - 4.1m	≤1 days	n=54 TIEs
Q3 to Date	1.1 min (avg)	0.4m	0.4 - 2.5m	≤1 days	n=3 TIEs
FY26 YTD	0.5 min (avg)	0.3m	0.2 - 4.1m	≤1 days	n=62 TIEs
All Time	0.5 min (avg)	0.3m	0.2 - 4.1m	≤1 days	n=59 TIEs

3. KPI-03: SME Review Time

First Assignment → All Reviews Complete

Table 11. KPI-03: SME Review Time

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
7 Days	13.4 hours (avg)	13.4h	0.6 - 26.1h	≤5 days	n=2 TIEs
30 Days	5.7 days (avg)	3.6d	0.0 - 19.9d	≤5 days	n=36 TIEs
60 Days	10.4 days (avg)	7.6d	0.0 - 46.5d	≤5 days	n=90 TIEs
90 Days	10.1 days (avg)	5.7d	0.0 - 46.5d	≤5 days	n=100 TIEs
Q3 to Date	9.3 hours (avg)	1.1h	0.6 - 26.1h	≤5 days	n=3 TIEs
FY26 YTD	8.8 days (avg)	3.9d	0.0 - 46.5d	≤5 days	n=125 TIEs
All Time	9.2 days (avg)	4.0d	0.0 - 46.5d	≤5 days	n=120 TIEs

4. KPI-04: Administrative Review

SME Complete → Reviews Completed

Table 12. KPI-04: Administrative Review

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
7 Days	— days (avg)	—d	—d	≤1 days	n=0 TIEs
30 Days	14.4 hours (avg)	0.0h	0.0 - 96.0h	≤1 days	n=12 TIEs
60 Days	1.0 days (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 5.0d	≤1 days	n=42 TIEs
90 Days	21.6 hours (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 120.0h	≤1 days	n=49 TIEs
Q3 to Date	— days (avg)	—d	—d	≤1 days	n=0 TIEs
FY26 YTD	19.2 hours (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 120.0h	≤1 days	n=64 TIEs
All Time	19.2 hours (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 120.0h	≤1 days	n=61 TIEs

5. KPI-05: Completion Memo

Reviews Completed → Memo Issued

Table 13. KPI-05: Completion Memo

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
7 Days	— days (avg)	—d	—d	≤3 days	n=0 TIEs
30 Days	4.1 days (avg)	2.0d	0.0 - 9.0d	≤3 days	n=10 TIEs
60 Days	2.9 days (avg)	3.0d	0.0 - 9.0d	≤3 days	n=37 TIEs
90 Days	2.3 days (avg)	1.0d	0.0 - 9.0d	≤3 days	n=47 TIEs
Q3 to Date	— days (avg)	—d	—d	≤3 days	n=0 TIEs
FY26 YTD	1.7 days (avg)	0.0h	0.0 - 9.0d	≤3 days	n=65 TIEs
All Time	1.7 days (avg)	0.0h	0.0 - 9.0d	≤3 days	n=63 TIEs

6. KPI-06: Total Process Time

Submit → Completion (End-to-End)

Table 14. KPI-06: Total Process Time

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
7 Days	0.0 hours (avg)	0.0h	0.0 - 0.0h	≤10 days	n=1 TIEs

Time Window	Average	Median	Range	Target	Sample Size
30 Days	11.5 days (avg)	12.0d	0.0 – 20.0d	≤10 days	n=11 TIEs
60 Days	14.4 days (avg)	15.5d	0.0 – 26.0d	≤10 days	n=38 TIEs
90 Days	13.1 days (avg)	14.5d	0.0 – 27.0d	≤10 days	n=48 TIEs
Q3 to Date	0.0 hours (avg)	0.0h	0.0 – 0.0h	≤10 days	n=1 TIEs
FY26 YTD	10.3 days (avg)	9.5d	0.0 – 27.0d	≤10 days	n=66 TIEs
All Time	10.3 days (avg)	9.5d	0.0 – 27.0d	≤10 days	n=64 TIEs

3. SME Reviewer Performance

How to read these tables

Each table is a workload snapshot for the selected period. “Total TIEs” reflects the reviewer’s total queue and completed work for that period, while Assigned, In Progress, and Completed break out the current status of that workload.

7 Days

Reviews assigned: Jan 05 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 15. SME reviewer performance — 7 Days

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	3	9	6	1	2	18.0
Michael Smith	1	4	2	1	1	5.0
Sean Montierth	0	3	2	0	1	14.0
Jason Benshoof	3	3	0	0	3	0.3
Timothy Galluzi	0	1	0	1	0	—
Adam Miller	1	1	1	0	0	—

30 Days

Reviews assigned: Dec 13 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 16. SME reviewer performance — 30 Days

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	20	37	6	1	30	11.7

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
William Kennedy	6	9	0	0	9	9.8
Michael Smith	7	9	2	1	6	5.3
Adam Miller	7	9	1	0	8	3.5
Sean Montierth	3	5	2	0	3	8.3
Jason Benshoof	3	3	0	0	3	0.3
Lisa Jean	2	2	0	0	2	—
Timothy Galluzi	0	1	0	1	0	—

60 Days

Reviews assigned: Nov 13 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 17. SME reviewer performance — 60 Days

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	57	59	6	1	52	10.5
Adam Miller	15	15	1	0	14	2.2
Michael Smith	14	14	2	1	11	3.6
William Kennedy	9	9	0	0	9	9.8
Sean Montierth	6	6	2	0	4	6.8
Jason Benshoof	6	6	0	0	6	1.5
Lisa Jean	2	2	0	0	2	—
Timothy Galluzi	1	1	0	1	0	—

90 Days

Reviews assigned: Oct 14 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 18. SME reviewer performance — 90 Days

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	60	60	6	1	53	10.5
Adam Miller	17	17	1	0	16	1.9
Michael Smith	16	16	2	1	13	3.1
William Kennedy	9	9	0	0	9	9.8

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
Jason Benshoof	6	7	0	0	7	2.0
Sean Montierth	6	6	2	0	4	6.8
Michael Zuehlsdorff	2	2	0	0	2	0.5
Lisa Jean	2	2	0	0	2	—
Timothy Galluzi	1	1	0	1	0	—

Q3 to Date

Reviews assigned: Jan 01 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 19. SME reviewer performance — Q3 to Date

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	6	9	6	1	2	18.0
Michael Smith	2	4	2	1	1	5.0
Sean Montierth	0	3	2	0	1	14.0
Jason Benshoof	3	3	0	0	3	0.3
Timothy Galluzi	0	1	0	1	0	—
Adam Miller	1	1	1	0	0	—

FY26 YTD

Reviews assigned: Jul 01 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 20. SME reviewer performance — FY26 YTD

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	70	70	6	1	64	9.2
Lisa Jean	31	31	0	0	32	—
Adam Miller	22	22	1	0	22	1.8
Michael Smith	16	16	2	1	13	3.1
Jason Benshoof	12	12	0	0	12	1.2
William Kennedy	9	9	0	0	9	9.8
Michael Zuehlsdorff	7	7	0	0	8	0.8
Sean Montierth	8	8	2	0	6	6.0

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
Timothy Galluzi	4	4	0	1	3	1.7
Deron Dahl	1	1	0	0	1	—

All Time

Reviews assigned: Jul 19 – Jan 12, 2026

Table 21. SME reviewer performance — All Time

Reviewer	New in Period	Total TIEs	Assigned	In Progress	Completed	Avg Days
David Axtell	70	70	6	1	64	9.2
Lisa Jean	31	31	0	0	32	—
Adam Miller	22	22	1	0	22	1.8
Michael Smith	16	16	2	1	13	3.1
Jason Benshoof	12	12	0	0	12	1.2
William Kennedy	9	9	0	0	9	9.8
Michael Zuehlsdorff	7	7	0	0	8	0.8
Sean Montierth	8	8	2	0	6	6.0
Timothy Galluzi	4	4	0	1	3	1.7
Deron Dahl	1	1	0	0	1	—

4. Submission Trends and Patterns

The source report includes a trailing 12-month view of reviewed TIE volume and investment value. That chart is represented here as a linear table, followed by the year-over-year comparison table from the source report.

Table 22. Trailing 12-month reviewed TIEs and investment

Period	Unique TIEs	Total Investment
FY25 Jan	11	\$17,459,931
FY25 Feb	13	\$3,406,038
FY25 Mar	19	\$9,476,954
FY25 Apr	24	\$12,480,435
FY25 May	27	\$210,745,606

Period	Unique TIEs	Total Investment
FY25 Jun	19	\$166,881,129
FY26 Jul	29	\$296,817,092
FY26 Aug	11	\$120,781,101
FY26 Sep	15	\$135,972,355
FY26 Oct	25	\$225,568,059
FY26 Nov	21	\$82,579,126
FY26 Dec	22	\$142,701,381

Table 23. Year-over-year comparison

Fiscal Year	Unique TIEs	Total Investment	Avg per TIE
FY33	1	\$1.3M	\$668.1K
FY32	11	\$9.7M	\$809.7K
FY31	15	\$16.0M	\$1.0M
FY30	30	\$54.0M	\$1.6M
FY29	67	\$80.2M	\$1.0M
FY28	153	\$167.0M	\$907.6K
FY27	322	\$400.3M	\$684.3K
FY26	485	\$555.8M	\$600.3K
FY25	537	\$519.1M	\$476.2K
FY24	462	\$691.5M	\$738.7K
FY23	331	\$285.8M	\$424.6K
FY22	239	\$176.5M	\$330.5K
FY21	113	\$150.2M	\$600.6K
FY20	66	\$71.8M	\$539.9K
FY19	311	\$92.1M	\$132.5K

5. Investment Type Analysis

The interactive source compared investment types in both TIE volume and dollar value. Those comparisons are split below into accessible tables for counts, dollar values, and all-time completed reviews by type.

Table 24. Investment type comparison — TIE counts

Investment Type	FY26 YTD TIEs	FY25 YTD TIEs	FY25 Full-Year TIEs	Change vs FY25 YTD
New Major Cloud Solution	37	33	60	+12.1%
Renew/Update Agreement	30	37	81	-18.9%
New On-Prem Solution	20	36	60	-44.4%
Minor Cloud Solution	16	0	0	+100.0%
Budgeted Software Licensing	8	12	17	-33.3%
General Maintenance	7	0	3	+100.0%
Budgeted Equipment Replacement	5	9	16	-44.4%

Table 25. Investment type comparison — investment dollars

Investment Type	FY26 YTD Investment	FY25 YTD Investment	FY25 Full-Year Investment	Change vs FY25 YTD
New Major Cloud Solution	\$310.4M	\$129.0M	\$195.0M	+140.6%
Renew/Update Agreement	\$170.2M	\$102.6M	\$282.9M	+65.9%
New On-Prem Solution	\$489.6M	\$112.0M	\$276.5M	+337.3%
Minor Cloud Solution	\$284K	\$0	\$0	+100.0%
Budgeted Software Licensing	\$18.7M	\$5.2M	\$6.7M	+257.4%
General Maintenance	\$7.0M	\$0	\$274K	+100.0%
Budgeted Equipment Replacement	\$8.1M	\$16.4M	\$18.3M	-50.4%

Table 26. All-time completed reviews by investment type

Investment Type	Completed TIEs	Total Investment
New On-Prem Solution	263	\$1,116,551,877
New Major Cloud Solution	256	\$1,377,957,252
Renew/Update Agreement	238	\$647,700,665
Budgeted Equipment Replacement	89	\$71,125,790
Budgeted Software Licensing	59	\$45,042,998

Investment Type	Completed TIEs	Total Investment
General Maintenance	24	\$12,646,245
Minor Cloud Solution	16	\$284,281

6. Agency Investment — FY to Date

This table is the accessible equivalent of the agency investment bar chart in the source report. It lists the agencies with the highest reviewed investment values for the current fiscal year to date.

Table 27. Top agencies by reviewed investment value — FY26 YTD

Agency	Reviewed Investment (FY26 YTD)
902 - Employment Security Division	\$16,458,833
402 - Aging And Disability Services	\$25,823,993
180 - Governor's Technology Office	\$41,595,752
902,908 - Employment Security Division, Detr Administrative Services	\$43,856,729
409 - Child And Family Division	\$66,215,943
410 - Nha Director's Office	\$68,812,697
300 - Department Of Education	\$92,432,405
015 - Finance Office	\$92,870,134
810 - Department Of Motor Vehicles	\$216,591,174
407 - Division Of Welfare & Supportive Svcs	\$299,696,876

7. Investment by Fiscal Year

This table shows when reviewed investment dollars are planned to be spent. It mirrors the fiscal-year chart from the source report and focuses on planned spend timing rather than submission date.

Table 28. Reviewed investment planned by fiscal year

Fiscal Year	Reviewed Investment Planned for Spend
FY19	\$92,056,023
FY20	\$71,813,016
FY21	\$150,154,630
FY22	\$176,511,952

Fiscal Year	Reviewed Investment Planned for Spend
FY23	\$285,768,333
FY24	\$691,457,277
FY25	\$519,105,767
FY26	\$555,842,659
FY27	\$400,294,816
FY28	\$167,007,279
FY29	\$80,172,384
FY30	\$54,036,285
FY31	\$16,035,516
FY32	\$9,716,992

8. TIE Budget Estimate Source Analysis

The source report compared how agencies develop budget estimates for reviewed TIE investments. This accessible table shows the FY26 YTD and FY25 YTD values side by side with a calculated change percentage.

Table 29. Budget estimate source analysis

Estimate Source	FY26 YTD Investment	FY25 YTD Investment	Change
Vendor Quote	\$573,358,341	\$238,415,011	+140.5%
Other	\$188,828,218	\$2,770,432	+6715.8%
RFP Response	\$161,817,318	\$5,406,792	+2892.9%
Past Invoice	\$129,362,409	\$23,292,287	+455.4%
Agency Estimate	\$126,160,312	\$77,973,614	+61.8%
Vendor Inquiry	\$66,652,844	\$2,708,769	+2360.6%
GTO Schedule	\$33,333,312	\$9,699	+343577.8%
Past Experience	\$2,843,077	\$33,009,817	-91.4%
GTO Estimate	\$2,500,000	\$6,586,363	-62.0%
RFI Response	\$58,000	\$22	+263536.4%
Analysis/Research	\$1,129	\$0	New / n.a.
New Cost Item	\$0	\$0	0.0%

Accessibility note

This final build prioritizes logical reading order, heading structure, and tabular equivalents for charts. The separate print-styled PDF preserves more of the original interactive look, while this version is intended for accessible distribution and remediation workflows.